

Microplastics and the Human Body — summary

An evidence synthesis · Holistic Quality LLC

Author: Levi Robey · Holistic Quality LLC · **Contact:** levi@holisticquality.io

Version: 1.0 · **Published:** 2026-07-03 · **Last updated:** 2026-07-03

Document type: Working evidence synthesis (not peer-reviewed)

Disclaimer. This is a research synthesis of the published scientific literature. It is **not medical advice** and is **not peer-reviewed**. It summarizes the state of the evidence and cites its sources so readers can verify every claim.

How this was produced. AI-assisted literature review and drafting, then **human-verified** — every study cited was checked against its published record and reported finding. This is a selective synthesis of representative, high-quality studies, not an exhaustive systematic review.

The bottom line

Microplastic particles are **detectably present inside the human body** — reported in human stool, blood, and placenta — and estimated human intake runs to the **tens to hundreds of thousands of particles per year**. Exposure is real and measurable. **Whether that exposure harms health at current levels is not established.** No human study has demonstrated it; the hazard case rests on cell and animal models and on analogy to other particulates; and the field's own reviews and the World Health Organization converge on "unknown" or "low risk at current levels, on a limited evidence base with major gaps." The honest summary is **detected, plausibly hazardous by analogy, effect-versus-exposure margin unresolved** — not "safe," and not "proven harmful." A faithful compression of the [full evidence review](#).

What has been detected (all small samples; none assessed health effects)

- **Stool** — microplastics in **all 8** volunteers of a prospective case series, a median of **20 particles (50-500 µm) per 10 g**, across 9 polymer types (Schwabl et al., 2019). [1]
- **Blood** — plastic particles quantified in **22 donors** at a **mean 1.6 µg/mL** (a *mass concentration*, not a particle count), PET/PE/styrene most common (Leslie et al., 2022). [2]
- **Placenta** — **12 fragments in 4 of 6** placentas, of which only **3 were confirmed as an actual polymer** (Ragusa et al., 2021). [3]

These are existence proofs of exposure, not evidence of harm — none measured a health outcome.

How much exposure

Estimated intake is **39,000–52,000 particles/year from food and drink, rising to 74,000–121,000 once inhalation is added** — but these are age/sex-stratified endpoints, cover only ~15% of caloric intake, are counts (not a toxicant dose), and are described by the authors as a **likely underestimate** (Cox et al., 2019). Water source matters: **~90,000 particles/year from bottled water vs. ~4,000 from tap**. [4] The WHO's drinking-water assessment found microplastics **do not appear to pose a health risk at current levels — while stressing this rests on limited evidence with major knowledge gaps**, and that waterborne pathogens remain the far larger priority (WHO, 2019). [5]

Why harm is not yet established

The toxicology is real but does not transfer cleanly to people: effects are shown in **mouse models and human cell lines**, with human consequences "yet unclear" (Yong et al., 2020) [6]; human health effects are "unknown" and quantifying real exposure is the unmet prerequisite (Wright & Kelly, 2017) [7]; and whether microplastics pose a substantial risk to human health remains far from settled (Vethaak & Legler, 2021) [8]. Two structural problems block a verdict: the **sub-10- μ m/nanoplastic fraction most able to cross barriers is at or beyond current measurement limits** (so exposure is likely underestimated), and most toxicology uses **pristine plastic beads rather than the weathered fragments people are actually exposed to**. Until effect thresholds can be compared to measured (not modeled) human intake — the **margin-of-exposure** question — a causal human-health claim is not available.

Reasonable posture

Presence in the body is necessary but not sufficient for harm. The evidence supports **exposure reduction as cheap precaution where it is easy** (e.g., the bottled-vs-tap-water differential), paired with **honesty that a causal human-health claim is not yet available**. The full report details the evidence, the gaps, and the research that would resolve them.

How to cite

This page is the **summary brief**. The citable version of record is the **full evidence review** — please cite that:

Robey, L. (2026). *Microplastics and the Human Body: Exposure, Translocation, and the Margin-of-Exposure Question* (full evidence review, Version 1.0). Holistic Quality LLC.
[doi:10.5281/zenodo.21172814](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21172814) ·

<https://holisticquality.io/research/microplastics-and-the-human-body-full>

The full report — with the complete reference set and disclosures — is at holisticquality.io/research/microplastics-and-the-human-body-full; its version DOI ([doi:10.5281/zenodo.21172814](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21172814)) is the citable identifier for this work (the version-independent concept DOI is [doi:10.5281/zenodo.21172813](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21172813)).

References

- 1 Schwabl P, et al. **Detection of Various Microplastics in Human Stool: A Prospective Case Series.** *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 2019;171(7):453–457. [doi:10.7326/M19-0618](https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-0618) · PMID 31476765 · [archived](#)
- 2 Leslie HA, et al. **Discovery and quantification of plastic particle pollution in human blood.** *Environment International.* 2022;163:107199. [doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107199](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107199) · PMID 35367073 · [archived](#)
- 3 Ragusa A, et al. **Plasticenta: First evidence of microplastics in human placenta.** *Environment International.* 2021;146:106274. [doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106274](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106274) · PMID 33395930 · [archived](#)
- 4 Cox KD, et al. **Human Consumption of Microplastics.** *Environmental Science & Technology.* 2019;53(12):7068–7074. [doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b01517](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01517) · PMID 31184127 · [archived](#)
- 5 World Health Organization. **Microplastics in drinking-water.** WHO; 2019. ISBN 9789241516198 · [source](#) · [archived](#)
- 6 Yong CQY, Valiyaveettil S, Tang BL. **Toxicity of Microplastics and Nanoplastics in Mammalian Systems.** *IJERPH.* 2020;17(5):1509. [doi:10.3390/ijerph17051509](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051509) · PMID 32111046 · [archived](#)
- 7 Wright SL, Kelly FJ. **Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue?** *Environmental Science & Technology.* 2017;51(12):6634–6647. [doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b00423](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00423) · PMID 28531345 · [archived](#)
- 8 Vethaak AD, Legler J. **Microplastics and human health.** *Science.* 2021;371(6530):672–674. [doi:10.1126/science.abe5041](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5041) · PMID 33574197 · [archived](#)

All citations independently verified against their published sources. Health-effect statements reflect animal/in-vitro/cell-line evidence unless a human study is named.

Disclosures

Competing interests. The author is the founder and principal of Holistic Quality LLC, the commercial publisher of this brief, which develops regulator-facing safety-data and compliance products in areas that include environmental and chemical exposure; a sibling property (the Institute for Cognitive Sovereignty) may cite this work. To mitigate this competing interest, every claim and citation was independently source-verified, the limits of the evidence are stated throughout, and the author retained sole editorial control. **Funding:** none (self-funded). **Data availability:** synthesis of published literature; no new data. **AI use:** AI-assisted review/drafting, human-verified; the named author is responsible for all content. **ORCID:** 0009-0005-6946-3569. **Peer-review status:** self-published working paper; not peer-reviewed. Full disclosures are in the [full report](#).